Digital Public Management Governance

Ana Maria Călin[1], Sorin Burlacu[2], Viorel Mocanu[3] and Maria Loredana Popescu[4]
1) 2) 3) 4) The Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Bucharest, Romania.
E-mail: ana_maria_calin@yaho.com; E-mail: sburlacu@amp.ase.ro
E-mail: office@mocanuviorel.ro; E-mail: maria.popescu@mk.ase.ro

Please cite this paper as:
Calin, A.M., Burlacu, S., Mocanu, V.and Popescu, M.L.,2022. Digital Public Management Governance. In: R. Pamfilie, V. Dinu, C. Vasiliu, D. Pleșea, L. Tăchiciu eds. 2022. *8th BASIQ International Conference on New Trends in Sustainable Business and Consumption.* Graz, Austria, 25-27 May 2022. Bucharest: ASE, pp.101-108.
DOI: 10.24818/BASIQ/2022/08/012

Abstract
People's day-to-day lives have been transformed by the ICT revolution, but so have the relationships between governments and their populations. ICT and web technologies have been used to support and redefine current, new information and transactional interactions with stakeholders (e.g. people and businesses) using ICT, in particular the Internet and web technologies, with the goal of enhancing government performance and operations. When it comes to e-governance, there have been several distinct stages, each with its own unique set of interactions with the public: the first stage is simply the digitization of government information, which serves as a passive presence on the Internet.
Aim study is to discover whether county residents prefer electronic administration over traditional methods (e-governance). E-governance can only be implemented successfully if the people who will be affected by it agree with its implementation and believe it will be beneficial. Prior to implementing any plan for IT governance in public administration, it is critical to determine whether or not people desire or need such governance / administration. We should ask their views and see if we can implement it if they want it to be done thus.

Keywords

governance, public management, digitalization, management.

DOI: 10.24818/BASIQ/2022/08/012

Introduction

Public services, government administration, democratic processes, and contacts between people, civil society, business, and the government are all supported using information and communication technology (ICT). As a general phrase, "e-government" comprises a wide range of ICT applications in several settings. When it comes to e-government, this article focuses on five interconnected goals: a policy framework, enhanced public services and governance operations, high-quality and energy-efficient governance operations as well as a policy framework. At a great financial expense is involving the public in democratic processes, as well as administrative and structural reforms. One of the most significant areas of investment and development in the US and local governments' use of e-government is enhancing citizen access to government services and simplifying government processes. While progress has been achieved on several fronts, new policy challenges are continuously being added to an already complicated collection of concerns. An examination of the implications of electronic governance for administrative and institutional change appears to have made just the slightest advance in attempts to reinforce democracy. Technology-based governance will continue to grow soon, creating a dynamic environment for lifelong learning and action in many domains.

Changes brought forth by globalization and new information and communication technologies (NICT) have brought us to this moment in time. With globalization, economic and political interdependencies have become increasingly complicated. It is becoming increasingly difficult or impossible to have a political or economic impact on national governments because of the spread of news, currencies, and political and economic information across borders. Whereas academics and researchers in the public sector once viewed the Internet as a general-purpose tool for research, it has now evolved into a global mass of media thanks to advances in the World Wide Web and navigation technology. As a result, financial and communications systems around the world stand to undergo radical change in the 21st century, with implications for nearly

every aspect of life and work. People need to consider about how they want to be governed in the new century because of forces like those that change how people live, communicate, and work. "External governance" was mentioned in several responses, with some individual responses falling into this category. "Greater connectedness" is made possible by current technologies that are "widespreadly adopted" by a certain kind of government. The term of a "smart community" may be used to describe the economic, social, and political changes that might result from local NICT deployment. Changes in technology and globalization have ushered in a new era of profound transformation. With the rise of globalization, it has become clear that there are complex economic and political interdependencies and that many long-held assumptions about sovereignty and the role of the nation-state are no longer relevant. Governing people in the new millennium is becoming an important topic as digital communication and social networks change the way people live, engage, and work. All of the responses were grouped under the broad heading of "e-government." It demonstrates a movement in governance toward one that places a higher value on community engagement and makes use of cutting-edge technologies to do it. Local economic, social, and political reforms are hallmarks of a smart community movement. New governance structures and community collective intelligence are examined in this article.

If existing promises of digital interdependence fail to persuade skeptics to join them, it is because they lack a clearly defined reform of working methods to align with technology. In their view, critics' jobs have been made easy by the absence of critical information regarding a potential plan to raise tax money for public education. We need more information on the new governance structures, which will allow communities to engage at a higher level of collective intelligence, to address questions like these one. In this article, we'll go over some of the essentials of the subject. To begin, we'll go over the process of developing "smart communities." Greater public participation is seen in these urban areas. Section two asks for the cornerstone of any community governance system to be based on common knowledge.

It should be clear that NICT does not merely provide an administrative or geographical strategy, despite its evident concentration on electronic governance and smart communities. As a part of section three, we examine the Canadian government's strategy to assist the progress of smart cities and explore if any of the points we've presented throughout the paper have been taken into consideration. As a basis for solving the "e-government" dilemma that is vital to digital-age success, the fourth section examines main hurdles to social learning.

In the previous two decades, the fast advancement of ICTs has invaded nearly every element of government, industry, and daily life, and it is expected that this trend will continue. Over the last few years, the volume and diversity of digital data has skyrocketed. Public and private value may be generated by thousands of distinct apps that can be developed, shared, and utilized in many ways. No matter where they are or what time it is, individuals, groups, and organizations may interact with one another. Communication networks bind individuals from every corner of the globe together. Networked society is a different story. It's tightly packed with complexity and ripe for new dangers, such as threats to security and privacy, as well as administrative oversight and oversight itself. The public sector is confronted with a wide range of issues due to the shifting risk and opportunity landscape. From "interesting but progressive change" to "the next American revolution," several terms have been used to characterize its influence on the public sector. In 1993, when the Winter Commission pondered on the future of state and municipal public service, there was no dispute about how profoundly different the government was today than it was then.

1. Methodology

The research methodology is based on a bibliographic study of digital governance in the field of public management. Thus, we analyzed the literature both from a theoretical point of view and from the identification of good practices that allow a detachment of some lessons learned.

The term "e-participation" is often used to refer to people participating in democratic processes via the internet. Accessibility and usefulness of technology, government communication, public discourse, and citizen participation in policymaking are all part of this effort. Reforming government structures and procedures, together with delegating public tasks to the private and non-profit sectors, aims to improve accountability, openness, and trust in the government and the public sector. The government also must change the way it sees its role in controlling the people and society, as well as the way it views and values itself.

There are many ways in which the ICT revolution has influenced people's daily lives, as well as the way governments interact with their citizens. The government has supported its citizens and businesses for a

long time via transaction and information management using the current infrastructure. Modern technology, notably the Internet and Web technologies, was built for e-government to advance these responsibilities.

"E-government is the use of information and communication technologies in the public sector with the goal of improving the provision of information and services, encouraging citizen participation in decision-making, and making government more accountable, transparent, and efficient," says the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). It's all part of the growth of e-government: a new leadership style, creative means of discussing policy and investment decisions, new ways of accessing education, and new ways of listening to citizens. Because e-government has the ability to change the way individuals engage with their governments and with each other, it is often considered a larger notion than e-government alone. A new idea of citizenship may be introduced through e-government in terms of the demands and obligations of people. The objective of the organization is to empower and employ residents. E-government may be broken down into five distinct objectives, each of which can be assessed on its own.

For starters, e-trustworthiness government's is based on a foundation of general norms that set criteria for data integrity and decision-making procedures. By creating policy objectives, defining regulations, and establishing policies, the government sets the general direction and rulemaking for all citizens and companies in the acquisition, use, protection, and exchange of information. As a final step, better public services that are tailored to fit the demands of individuals and businesses alike. Performance evaluation and enhanced skills in the workforce may help achieve several goals such as enhancing infrastructure and management, as well as making better use of information. Other than efficiency, government administration is focused on improving infrastructure, improved information management and use and organizational innovation as well as risk management.

2. Literature review

Digital governments' interactions with citizens have been studied in terms of a succession of phases called the "stages of e-government development. On this development, the first stage of e-government, digital governments rely on "digital presence" to give information in passive websites, where digitized data are provided (Burlacu et al., 2021). Interacting with government agencies is made easier by providing a dynamic interactive form that can provide information whenever it is needed, as well as emails to individuals, businesses, and other government organizations (Burlacu, 2004).

Third-stage services include online license renewal, authorisation applications, and tax payments. Making data flow more efficient and facilitating collaborative decision-making will be encouraged by the government (Rădulescu et al., 2020). It is widely accepted that e-government is a process of modernisation of public sector operations and processes (Burlacu, 2010). This is a significant e-governance project that is currently in its third phase (Profiroiu et al., 2020). Government data and administrative processes may be accessed at any time, day or night, thanks to this information distribution strategy that promotes simplicity and automation of services. When it comes to these phases, citizens have very little control on the substance of these stages, which pass from government to the people and back again. E-government 1.0 is the word we use for this version of e-government.

Once individuals and their government have a basic talk, the last transition step is still needed to bring about the change. The government must also be able to hear from the people. Our government has a duty to respond to public concerns in a way that demonstrates their understanding of such issues. To make this sort of change a reality, extensive technological aid will be provided by citizens (Bodislav et al., 2020). There must be a total openness and participation from the public in order to create social cohesion in a nation (Bran et al., 2020). When a new government is inaugurated, it will stoke public debate on the establishment of new policies (Burlacu et al., 2019).

The open government initiative of the US federal government pushes for the application of three governance principles: transparency, participation, and collaboration. Transparency in government may be achieved by providing citizens with information about what the government is doing, and improved accountability can be achieved through increasing accountability (Rădulescu et al., 2020).

Information on government activities and decisions should be always available to the public in an easy-to-use format. Involvement encourages public involvement by giving the general public the opportunity to engage in policymaking and to provide the government with the aggregate knowledge, ideas, and expertise of the general public. Citizens' participation in government improves both efficiency and the quality of government choices (Profiroiu et al., 2019). As a means of improving government efficiency, collaboration requires a wide range of partnerships and cooperation across federal, state, local, and private sector entities.

Everyone has a hand in the creation, organization, editing, combinatorial distribution and evaluation of web information, and they also have a role in the development of a social network through interacting and connecting with one another (Burlacu et al., 2018). Individuals are actively involved in generating, organizing, editing, combining, sharing, discussing, and reviewing web content in a social network that is built using Web 2.0 technology. Websites that have been built using social networks are known as websites that are part of Web 2.0.

Blog platforms, wikis, social networking centers (for example, Facebook, Myspace), web-based communication methods (for example, chat, chat groups), photo sharing (for example, Flickr), video sharing and distribution (for example, YouTube), audio distribution the usage of social media networks by individual users contributes to the development, organization, combining, and dissemination of digital material (Rădulescu et al., 2018).

When data and knowledge are created by a network of individuals outside of a company's walls, it is known as "wisdom from without" or "wisdom from the masses." This contrasts with the "authoritarian knowledge from the inside out" technique that is usually employed. When it comes to Web 1.0, people are viewed as information consumers because the major provider and organizer of content is an organization. Web 2.0 technologies that enable public engagement to make it easy to perform open government services in your town. To put it another way, when an organization adopts Web 2.0 apps, it creates a platform known as Enterprise 2.0, which offers workers with social media tools to improve productivity, customer relationships & communication quality, and overall efficiency.

Search, Links, Authorship, Tags, Extensions, and Signalling are some of the most essential features of Enterprise 2.0, according to McAfee (SLATES). Search tools make it easier for employees to find information and resources (Burlacu, 2004). With the support of coworkers and other employees, employees may develop complex and productive social networks by utilizing their connection talents (Costache et al., 2015). The author function allows employees to write and share their opinions, experiences, and expertise (Burlacu, 2009). Labels and collaborative filtering both aid in the organization and connection of information when it comes to the exchange of knowledge. It is feasible to quickly disseminate fresh information using RSS and Twitter. It is also known as Government 2.0 when social technologies are embraced by government. The above-mentioned services should be available not just to government employees but also to members of the general public who are not affiliated with any particular government agency. It is the use of information and communication technology (ICT) at various levels in government, as well as outside of the public sector, to improve governance and efficiency (Bedi, Singh and Srivastava, 2001; Holmes, 2001; Okot-Uma, 2000). A group's collective actions can be guided or limited by procedures and institutions, formal and informal, according to Keohane and Nye (2000). An important part of the population is the government, which is delegated responsibility for enforcing the law. Governments do not necessarily have to be in charge of governance in order for it to be effective. All non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and NGO associations play a role in the establishment of governance, frequently in conjunction with government authorities but occasionally on their own initiative and without the authority of the government. Indeed, e-government should not be limited to the public sector, according to this concept. There is a need for policies and processes to be administered and managed in the commercial and governmental sectors.

The term "e-government" encompasses more than only e-government, according to certain authors. Politicians and political parties can use the internet to solicit constituent feedback or non-profit organizations can use it to make their positions known to the general public through the medium of social media in order to increase citizen participation in the decision-making processes of public institutions, according to these authors (Howard, 2001 and Bannister and Walsh, 2002).

3. Findings

Digital government can benefit from "disruptive innovation" enabled by social media as a disruptive technology. Enabling technologies may help the government innovate and make significant changes, but only if they are used to supply government services, make decisions, develop policy or run operations.

The Romanian government makes use of social media to keep departments in touch with one another. Most significantly, the government provides information to the public, ensuring that citizens and other stakeholders have access to a wide range of government information. "crowd sourcing" is a term used to describe the practice of soliciting feedback from a large number of individuals. As a result of this innovation, the notions of digital information market and participatory democracy have seen substantial expansion.

In all levels of government, from the municipal to the federal, social networking tools may assist foster cooperation, information exchange, and the interchange of ideas. Using modern social media, governments are urged to become more open and transparent. NGOs, individuals, and the government all have a role in the generation of knowledge, the enhancement of public services, and the formulation of public policy. The word "more democratic" was used to describe this type of circumstance, and it refers to the next step toward a more inclusive digital government model that includes greater engagement from citizens. People of different backgrounds may engage in policy-making, content creation, data collection and knowledge exchange through a new method.

How the individuals in authority care for the lives of citizens is a major contrast between current and older types of government. To access government services in some jurisdictions, residents may be required to present an ID card. Citizens are able to accomplish anything from renewing their licenses to getting married with the use of these ID cards. Additionally, these IDs may be used to make cashless payments through the use of coin-free jukeboxes. For some years, the digitization of processes has been an on-and-off endeavor with the goal of replacing paper-based operations with electronic ones. In this talk, I'll discuss how the public feels about e-governance and what it can do for them.

Technological progress and innovation create new problems in a knowledge-based socioeconomic environment. As towns and areas throughout the world have become more important due to globalization, so has the possibility for public engagement in governance, which has led to the present rise of interest in smart communities. Borders are said to have been dissolved as a result of the city's fast growth, globalization, and the proliferation of NICT. International integration and national dissolution go hand in hand because subnational entities are forced to adapt to their individual circumstances. According to Naisbitt (1994), these dynamics constitute a "global paradox," which has led to a rise in interest in the smart community movement. English-language studies of innovation systems from a regional viewpoint are becoming increasingly popular across the world. It has been used to refer to subnational entities, their coordination and learning models, and the primary causes of socioeconomic growth in their separate areas of responsibility as "industrial and technical clusters," "local industrial systems," and "local innovation systems." Digital and smart communities have benefited greatly from the rising corpus of knowledge in this area.

Silicon Valley's success, according to Saxenian (1994), may be traced to the development of a "network system," which he refers to as a "web of webs." Network systems, as defined by the Saxons, are a type of decentralized industrial system in which production is coordinated by networks of specialized enterprises that compete fiercely while simultaneously working with local institutions such as universities in a formal and informal manner. In this network architecture, both inside and between competitive and collaborative communities, the importance of relationships is paramount. The wealth of social, technological, and productive interactions in Silicon Valley encourages entrepreneurship, experimentation, and collective learning. Consequently, the region's socio-technological and productive infrastructure is as crucial to the success of local firms as their own operations.

Collaborative learning is made possible by a network structure that connects public, private and academic groups, offering Silicon Valley enterprises a regional advantage in today's highly competitive economy. Innovative concepts can be developed and brought to market much more swiftly now than in the past due to the flexibility of the system. Many factors, including the density of communication networks, group affiliations, cooperation between competing companies, fluid organizational structure, labor mobility, local discussion forums, venture capitalist leadership, collaboration with top universities, and international connections, facilitate innovation, according to Saxenian (1994). Since Silicon Valley excelled in each one of these categories, it was able to establish start-ups considerably more swiftly and successfully than any other place in the world.

Thus, a community's capacity to acquire and use information and technology is critical to its prosperity efficiently and effectively. To be able to innovate and increase technical performance, you need to be able to establish close relationships with other people and engage in extensive learning experiences with them. Innovation is the product of a collaborative effort by a variety of organizations and individuals, such as corporations, labs, universities, and everyday customers. Our society is now built of a wide range of network-based governing structures (Stoker, 1996).

In spite of the appeal of electronic networks, placement of government is decided by more sophisticated social networks than electronic networks alone. Smart community movement. When it comes to comprehending the complexity of natural systems, learning and adaption dynamics are increasingly being utilized as metaphors for local government collaborations. Our economies build a considerably more diversified ecosystem of institutions than their counterparts to organize economic activity, produce ideas,

and transform them into goods. New information generation is the most crucial process in this new environment, which will be coordinated by a variety of corporations as well as regional and personal networks. Members of dynamic and sustainable networks may more easily exchange information, risks, and opportunities if they have a sense of mutual trust and reciprocity (Leadbeater, 1999, p. 148).

A rising number of observers now argue that the competitive advantage of city-regions rests in the concentrated variety that is the distinguishing trait of city-regions, including the diversity of intellectual capital, business, and infrastructure. It has also been shown that cities-regions may achieve the critical mass needed to attract high levels of specialization, such as skilled labor and knowledge-based industries, as well as businesses and media. City-regions have a unique and important impact on a company's capacity to respond quickly, innovate, and adapt in the global marketplace because of these distinguishing qualities (Capello, 1999). People and companies all around the globe are beginning to create the first models of "networked" communities because of the increasing demands of globalization and the Internet of Things (NICT). We have already arrived to the future's "smart" communities.

Implementing IT governance in public administration comes at a significant price since it is complex, even for highly experienced programmers, and hence expensive. Even while these pieces that enable e-governance are pricey, they are tremendously helpful, which helps justify their high cost. Even while these expenditures are often partially or totally funded by European and state funding, the fact that the majority of these costs are paid by these sources is remarkable.

A strategy that includes initiatives, and the writing of those projects to convince the commission of the project's usefulness and advantages to European residents, is essential to acquire any form of financing, whether Norwegian or European (those in the country where this e-government is implemented). My next few posts will focus on how difficult it is to find qualified professionals in the subject, which is a major problem in the city. As a result, in many circumstances, securing these finances while simultaneously working on a literary project is practically impossible. Only five cities and two communes in the country have embraced e-governance, but the benefits are clear: shorter lines at counters (or no lines at all), happier citizens, and less red tape.

If you are proposing aspects that will lead to an electronic/digital governance in the legitimate public administration of a city, the first step is to assess the city's level of absorption, and the most significant factor is the demands of its citizens in order to achieve those initiatives.

Conclusions

As new information and communication technologies (NICTs) being created and deployed throughout the world, we have entered a moment of tremendous change. Furthermore, globalization has increased the intensity of economic and political interdependencies, as well as challenged core beliefs about sovereignty and national-state roles, for example. Web and navigation technology have made it possible to transform the Internet from an academic research tool utilized by the government and academics into a worldwide mass medium that will have a profound impact on our lives and work in the twenty-first century.

Skeptics who are not satisfied with a simple plea for a revision of work regulations in accordance with the promise of technology may be put off by present assertions of digital interdependence being too imprecise. People that are skeptical feel that there is a devil lurking in the details, and they believe that the details are not there. To appropriately reply to this sort of information request, it is required to define more clearly how the collective intelligence of communities, such as the new governance structures, will operate. It is the goal of this portion of the essay to produce a rough map of the terrain that has been detailed. As a first step, we highlight two characteristics of spatial governance in the workplace that contribute to the establishment of smart communities: the extension of city areas and the growing prominence of citizen involvement. Here we analyze the link between organizational effectiveness and spatial governance. Collective intelligence is referred to in the second portion of this study as a basis for community governance models.

People's daily lives have been transformed by the rise of new information and communication technology. An e-government, also known as digital governance or as e-government, is a new form of public organization based on the use of Internet and Web technologies to support and redefine existing and new information, transactional interactions with stakeholders (e.g. citizens and businesses), with the goal of improving government performance and processes. It has been observed that the evolution of electronic government has been studied as a series of stages that describe how digital governments interact with their citizens: the first stage is "digital presence" with simple websites that provide passive information, such as government information; the second stage is "digital presence" with simple websites that provide active

information, such as a digitization of government services; and the third stage is "digital presence" with simple websites that provide services.

References

Bannister, F. and Walsh, N., 2002. The virtual public servant: Ireland's public services broker. *Information Polity: The International Journal of Government & Democracy in the Information*, 7(2/3), pp.115-127.

Bedi, K., Singh, P.J. and Srivastava, S., 2001. *Government net: new governance opportunities for India*. New Delhi: Sage.

Bodislav, D.A., Buzoianu, O.A.C., Burlacu, S. and Rădulescu, C.V., 2020. Analysis of companies in Romania from the perspective of risk perception and the management needs thereof. *Theoretical and Applied Economics*. XXVII (Special Issue), pp.341-349.

Bran, F., Rădulescu, C.V., Bodislav, D.A. and Burlacu, S., 2020. Environmental risks in the context of globalization. *Theoretical and Applied Economics*. XXVII (Special Issue), pp.350-357.

Burlacu, S., 2004. Informatizarea administratiei publice – cadru legislativ, beneficii, posibilitati, *Administratie si Management Public*, 1(3), pp.112 – 116.

Burlacu, S., 2009. Pre-Training Human Resources in Romanian Public Administration in the New Knowledge-Based Economy Using Electronic Communication. In *Proceedings of the International Conference Public Institutions' Capacity to Implement the Administrative Reform Process*. Bucharest, June. Bucharest: ASE, pp.23-24.

Burlacu, S., 2010. Role of Training in the Knowledge Society. In *Proceedings of Administration and Public Management International Conference*. Vol. 6, No. 1. Research Centre in Public Administration and Public Services, Bucharest: ASE, pp.203-208.

Burlacu, S., Alpopi, C., Mitrită, M. and Popescu, M.L., 2019. Sustainable e-Governance and Human Resource Development. *European Journal of Sustainable Development, 8*(5), 16.

Burlacu, S., Patarlageanu, S. R., Diaconu, A. and Ciobanu, G., 2021. E-*government in the era of globalization and the health crisis caused by the covid-19 pandemic, between standards and innovation*. Les Ulis: EDP Sciences. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20219208004

Burlacu, S., Rădulescu, C.V. and Bălu, O.F., 2018. Forms of Electronic Training. Principles of Educational Games. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences*. Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania. Vol. 1, pp.36-41.

Capello, R., 1999. Spatial transfer of knowledge in high technology milieux: learning versus collective learning processes. *Regional studies*, 33(4), pp.353-365.

Costache, G., Marinas, C. V., Igret, R. and Burlacu, S., 2015. Internship in the HR Department–Organizational and Individual Perspectives. In *Proceedings of the International Management Conference*. Bucharest, Romania. Vol. 9, No. 1, pp.359-370.

Holmes, D., 2001. *eGov: eBusiness Strategies for Government*. London, U.K.: Nicholas Brealey.

Howard, M., 2001. E-Government across the globe: How will "e" change government? *Government Finance Review*, 17(4), pp.6-9.

Keohane, R.O. and Nye, J.S., 2000. Introduction, In Nye, J. S. and Donahue, J.D. (editors), *Governance in a Globalization World*. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press.

Leadbeater, C., 1999. Towards the knowledge society. *New Statesman*, 128(4444), pp.25-27.

Naisbitt, J., 1994. Global paradox: the bigger the world economy, the more powerful its smallest players. *Journal of Leisure research*, *26*(4), 406.

Okot-Uma, R.W., 2000. *Electronic Governance: Re-inventing Good Governance*. London, U.K.: Commonwealth Secretariat.

Profiroiu, A., Burlacu, S. and Sabie, O., 2019. REFORM OF THE PENSION SYSTEM IN ROMANIA. *Calitatea acces la succesa – Quality Access to Success*, 20(S2), pp.521-524.

Profiroiu, M.C., Radulescu, C.V., Burlacu, S. and Guţu, C., 2020. Changes and trends in the development of the world economy. *In Competitivitatea şi inovarea în economia cunoaşterii*. Bucharest: ASE, pp. 324-330.

Rădulescu, C.V., Bodislav, D.A. and Burlacu, S., 2018. DEMOGRAPHIC EXPLOSION AND IT GOVERNANCE IN PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS. *Managerial Challenges of the Contemporary Society. Proceedings*, 11(1), 18.

Rădulescu, C.V., Bodislav, D.A., Bran, F. and Burlacu, S., 2020. The Impact of Cross-Border Cooperation Between The Republic Of Moldova And Romania On Socio-Economic Development. *EURINT*, 7, pp.63-79.

Rădulescu, C.V., Burlacu, S., Bodislav, D.A. and Bran, F., 2020. Entrepreneurial Education in the Context of the Imperative Development of Sustainable Business. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 9(4), pp.93-93.

Saxenian, A., 1994. Regional networks: industrial adaptation in Silicon Valley and route 128. In: Granovetter, M.S. and Swedberg, R. eds. *The sociology of economic life*. New York: Routledge, pp.41-60.

Stoker, G., 1996. Redefining local democracy. In *Local democracy and local government*. London: Palgrave, pp.188-209.