INCREASED COUNTRY RISK HAD IMPACT ON REGULARITY IN FINANCIAL REPORTS OF COMPANIES – SERBIAN CASE

Slobodan Čerović ¹, Lidija Barjaktarović ² and Marko Milojević ³ ^{1) 2) 3)} University Singidunum, Belgrade, Serbia E-mail: scerovic@singidunum.ac.rs; E-mail: lbarjaktarovic@singidunum.ac.rs E-mail: mmilojevic@singidunum.ac.rs

Abstract

During the world economic crisis country risk of transition emerging countries has been increased as result of various factors (for example country risk of Serbia, had increased from 1.525% in 2006 to 7.49% in 2013). At the same time fiscal deficit has growing trend. Accordingly, the state has increased taxes in order to generate income. Companies are main tax payers. They have dilemma how to prepare and announce financial reports, because if they are responsible they will pay higher tax vs. they will be in position to restructure or cancel debt. Subject of the research is to determine are data in financial reports of Serbian companies is objective. The sample consists of 4,029 largest companies. Period of analysis is from 2009 to 2013. By analyzing operating profit and net cash flow from operating activities, it was concluded that the frequency of digits 1, 3 and 4 deviates from theory of distribution based on Benford's law and regular algorithm distribution. In order to confirm the accuracy of the results obtained, they were validated by applying Chi-square test and Kolmogorov-Smirnoff statistic.

Keywords: Income statement, Operating profit, net cash flow from operating activities, Benford's law, fraud.

JEL Classification: G-32, H-29, M41, D81, K-13

Introduction

Country risk is premium which one entity earns in other country as result of financial transaction. It is determined with economic, political, social and financial terms and conditions of host country (where transaction is executed. According to Jelenkovic and Barjaktarovic (2010) the three important components of country risk are: political (which type of messages is expressed to the foreign investors by the state of host country), banking (stability of financial market) and systematic (part of market risk under governance of the state) risk. Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) countries felt the first wave of economic crisis at the end of 2008. Furthermore, cross-border loans which were used in CEE were transmission mechanism for economic crisis. Generally, country risk of CEE countries has worsted trend, because industry activity doesn't generate enough cash for growth and development for those countries (Pitic et al, 2014). For example, country risk of Republic of Serbia has flow from 1.525% (2006), 3.290% (2009) to 7.45% (2013) (Ministry of

Finance, 2013; Bloomberg, 2010; Reuters, 2010). At the same time fiscal deficit has growing trend. Accordingly, the state has increased taxes in order to generate income. Companies are main tax payers. They have dilemma how to prepare and announce financial reports, because if they are responsible they will pay higher tax vs. they will be in position to restructure or cancel debt.

Subject of the research is to determine are data in financial reports (balance sheet and income statement) of Serbian companies is objective. By enacting of the relevant law in 2004, Serbia undertook the obligation to apply IAS. Consequently, the companies were required to prepare their financial statements in compliance with the provisions set out in these standards. The implementation of IAS did not cause any major issues even though the experts in the field publicly expressed their skepticism (distrust) towards their implementation on the grounds that the standards provide for the possibility of accounting judgement.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no court litigations in Serbia from 2009 onwards in which accountants were charged for wrongful preparation of financial statements. The court cases usually dealt with criminal charges for abuse of office while the charges for correctness and quality of financial reporting were practically non-existent. The abuse of authorization by those responsible for the preparation of financial statements is present worldwide. The most prominent cases of abuse of accounting procedures, for the purpose of presenting results which do not conform to the actual state of affairs, are Enron and WorldCom which presented misleading financial statements both to their investors and their owners. This inevitably leads to the following question: if such manipulation of financial statements is present in the most developed world markets, what is going to happen to Serbia after it has adopted European regulations and practices in the manner described above.

For this reason, the subject matter of this research is the following hypothesis:

The financial statements in the Republic of Serbia are not a fair presentation and disclosure of commercial transactions completed in the course of the business year.

We shall attempt to confirm or refute this hypothesis by using auxiliary hypothesis.

Data presented in the financial statements follow, and are in line with, Benford's law.

In this paper we discuss the accuracy and truthfulness of presentation of accounting results in the operating profit item in the income statement and the net cash flow from operating activities item as an element of the cash flow statement. International academic public has been increasingly publishing papers on the subject of cash flow and the methodology of presentation of cash flow statement since the 70s of the last century. Bankruptcies of many companies across the world are the consequence of inadequate analysis of cash flow, illiquidity and insolvency. This paper is an attempt to test whether operating profit and net cash flow from operating activities presented in the financial statement comply with Benford's law.

Methodology

In this paper we used the probability of digits which are log normal distributed based on Benford's distribution. In order to test the reliability and quality of data obtained we applied a Z-test, Chi square test and SK statistic.

In determining the frequency of distribution of a digit one in any number we used the following logarithmic relationship.

 $F_a = \log_{10} 1 + \frac{1}{p}$ (1)

Here, p is a nonzero leading decimal digit 1, 2, . . ., 9. The second digit in the number is calculated by using the following formula:

$$F_b = \frac{\log_{10} 1 + \frac{pz+1}{pz}}{\log_{10} \frac{p+1}{p}}$$
(2)

Here, z may be any of 0, 1, 2, ..., 9. Benford's Law for arbitrary digits For a number beginning with decimal digits abc ... opq (Raimi, 1976). $log_{10} \ 1 + x \approx x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^4}{4} + \cdots /log(10)$ (3) $F_q = \frac{log_{10} \ \frac{abc...opq}{abc...opq}}{log_{10} \ \frac{abc...opq}{abc...opq}} = \frac{log_{10} \ 1 + \frac{1}{abc...opq}}{log_{10} \ 1 + \frac{1}{abc...opq}} \approx \frac{abc...op}{abc...opq} \rightarrow 1/10,$ (4)

Thus, after the first few leading digits, there is little difference in digit frequencies.

According to Durtschi et al (2004) computational note: use log1p(x) instead of log(1 + x). Wallace (2002) suggests that if the mean of a particular set of numbers is larger than the median and the skewness value is positive, the data set likely follows a Benford's distribution. It follows that the larger the ratio of the mean divided by the median, the more closely the set will follow Benford's Law. If distributions are selected at random (in any "unbiased way") and random samples are taken from these distributions, then the significant digit frequencies of the combined sample will converge to Benford's distribution, even though the individual distributions selected may not closely follow the law (Hill, 1998).

Several tax authorities now use Benford's Law tests in their auditing software to find tax cheats (Nigrini, 1999).

Digit	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th or Greater
					higher
0		11,97%	10,18%	10,02%	10,00%
1	30,10%	11,39%	10,14%	10,01%	10,00%
2	17,61%	10,88%	10,10%	10,01%	10,00%
3	12,49%	10,43%	10,06%	10,01%	10,00%
4	9,69%	10,03%	10,02%	10,00%	10,00%
5	7,92%	9,67%	9,98%	10,00%	10,00%
6	6,69%	9,34%	9,94%	9,99%	10,00%
7	5,80%	9,04%	9,90%	9,99%	10,00%
8	5,12%	8,76%	9,86%	9,99%	10,00%
9	4,58%	8,50%	9,83%	9,98%	10,00%

Table 1: Expected Frequencies of Digits in Collected Numerical Data on Benford's Law

Source: Nigrini, M. and Mittermaier, L., 1997, Journal of Practice and Theory, p. 57.

Test statistics can be strongly influenced by sample size, with some statistics requiring near perfect adherence to the distribution as the sample becomes large (Nigrini, 2012). We use two statistics when measuring conformity to Benford's distribution, the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff (KS) statistic and the Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) statistic.

Data and Analysis

The research was conducted on a sample of 4,029 companies classified as large and medium-sized companies in accordance with the Serbian Companies Law. A large company, according to this law, is a company which: a) has more than 250 employees; b) generates an operating profit of more than 35,153,220.39 euros; c) owns assets in excess of 17.576.606.04 euros. Medium-sized company is a company which: a) has between 50 and 250 employees; b) generates operating profit between 8,838,522.61 and 35,153,220.39 euros; c) own assets worth between 4,419,265.16 and 17,576,606.04 euros. This analysis is based on the financial statements obtained from SBRA for the period between 2009 and 2013 prepared by the abovementioned group of companies. However, the subject matter of our analysis was the following business years: 2009, 2011 and 2013 in order to prove the continuity of application of Benford's analysis. In order to manage these data more easily and efficiently, operating profit and net cash flow from operating activities were transferred to Excel document and organized into pivot tables. The reason behind such a large number of companies contained in the sample is our desire to show realistic picture of the quality and accuracy of key balance sheet items and because the application of Benford's law gains on quality and reliability if the sample is large and statistically significant.

Table 2.3 show that digits 1 to 9 and their frequency as the leading digit in numbers present in the sampled financial statements are in line with Benford's law, value of z-test and Mean Absolute Deviation and Kolmogorov-Smirnoff (KS) statistic.

First			Benf.	Z-	First			Benf.	Z-
(OP)	Count	Actual	Law	stat	(CFOPA)	Count	Actual	Law	stat
1	3638	0,302	0,301	0,117	1	3827	0,317	0,301	3,727
2	2064	0,171	0,176	1,431	2	2055	0,170	0,176	1,741
3	1582	0,131	0,125	2,044	3	1426	0,118	0,125	2,301
4	1210	0,100	0,097	1,243	4	1102	0,091	0,097	2,117
5	962	0,080	0,079	0,211	5	908	0,075	0,079	1,635
6	778	0,064	0,067	1,063	6	802	0,066	0,067	0,245
7	688	0,057	0,058	0,432	7	725	0,060	0,058	0,917
8	585	0,048	0,051	1,305	8	655	0,054	0,051	1,497
9	557	0,046	0,046	0,194	9	587	0,049	0,046	1,454
	12064		MAD=	0,003		12087		MAD=	0,005

Table2. Freque	encies of F	rst Digits	in Collected	Numerical Data
1 abic2. 1 leque		ist Digits	In concetted	Tumerical Data

Source: Author's calculations

Benford's analysis compares the actual frequency of digits with theoretical probability. If there is statistically significant deviation, the conformity of empirical distribution is tested by MAD and KS statistic. According to Benford's distribution, the probability of digit 1 as the leading digit is 30.10%. Actual results have high probability of deviation from the expected value due to random variation. In order to determine whether the variation is statistically significant or not i.e. in order to determine whether false data were presented in the operating profit and net cash flow from operating activities positions, we used a Z-test. Z-test value of 1.96 indicates that p value is 0.05 (95% probability) while Z-test value of 1.64 gives a p value of 0.10 (90% probability). In order to conclude that analyzed income statement's positions contain incorrect data, the percentage of actual digits must significantly differ from expected, with the deviation exceeding either upper or lower deviation bound. Two dilemmas arise from this situation. The first one is intuitive in nature and the other statistical. Intuitive dilemma indicates that the value of fraud is large on a gross scale. Statistical dilemma indicates that the account i.e. accounting item which is subjected to testing has a large number of transactions related to it and therefore even a small percentage of inconsistent numbers will be sufficient to show significant difference from expected numbers. This is precisely the reason why auditors, who use preinstalled programs which include analytical tests based on Benford's law, are encouraged to test the entire account and not just a sample (Nigrini, 1999). The more advance version of Z-test, which tests only one digit at a time, is called a Chi square test. It is used to determine the statistical significance of difference between observed and expected distribution or frequency of relevant events. This test is applied in order to provide an answer to the question whether the number of observed distributions differs from expected?

Results presented in (table 2) show that all first digits in numbers representing operating profit are in line with Benford's law. The only digit whose frequency is above expected is the digit 3. Its distribution in the sample is more often than is expected. Absolute difference in its distribution is 0.06% and it is statistically significant because it exceeds the upper deviation bound (by 0.005%). For this reason, we be believe that auditors in the companies which have operating profit item beginning with digit three should check the accuracy of their income statement. Using 0.10 confidence level, (table 2) reveals that the frequency of each digit from one to nine (except digit three) in operating profit item is statistically insignificantly different from expected frequency. Out of 4,029 companies, operating profit in the income statement of 3,638 companies begins with a digit 1 which is 30.16% of the total number of analyzed data. According to Benford's law, the expected frequency is 30.10% and therefore this deviation is statistically insignificant which we demonstrated by using Z-test i.e. upper and lower deviation bound. It is similar with the other digits as well and their probability of being the first digit.

When presenting the accounting item net cash flow from operating activities in the cash flow statement, it is evident that the frequency of the first digit significantly deviates from the Benford's distribution. It is particularly conspicuous occurrence with the digit one, three and four. They show greater statistically significant difference than digit three in relation to operating profit item. We point out that deviation of the digit one from expected distribution by 0.016 is the greater deviation in the sample and indicates that the companies use the digit one in disclosure of this accounting item more often than they should. The frequency of the digit one as the first digit could be justified by the very nature of this accounting item which is the fact that it is calculated as the difference between cash inflow and cash outflow, whereas there is no logical explanation for the frequency of distribution of the digits three and four. Manipulation of business results, particularly in the cash flow statements, may mislead the investors and minority shareholders about the company's capacity to generate profit and therefore I believe that the results of this research are valuable to investors who are thus urged not to accept the financial statements at their face value without closer examination.

Results shown in (table 2) demonstrate that there is a major suspicion present that the companies manipulate and incorrectly present the net cash flow from operating activities in

comparison to operating profit. This leads to a preliminary conclusion that a large number of companies in Serbia recognize their business results in the Income Statement in a true manner and that there is a reasonable and statistically confirmed suspicion that data recognized in the Cash Flow Statement are untrue.


Second (OP)	Count	Benford	Z-stat	Second (CFOPA)	Count	Benford	Z-stat
0	1458	0,120	0,384	0	1463	0,120	0,446
1	1396	0,114	0,617	1	1397	0,114	0,570
2	1301	0,109	0,330	2	1366	0,109	1,466
3	1214	0,104	1,315	3	1286	0,104	0,728
4	1259	0,100	1,466	4	1249	0,100	1,092
5	1134	0,097	0,981	5	1161	0,097	0,218
6	1093	0,093	1,030	6	1109	0,093	0,596
7	1100	0,090	0,302	7	1054	0,090	1,192
8	1037	0,088	0,610	8	1041	0,088	0,546
9	1072	0,085	1,503	9	961	0,085	2,149
	12064				12087		

Table 3: Frequencies of Second Digits in Collected Numerical Data

Source: Author's calculations

Based on the frequency of the second digit in the number (table 3) we may conclude that there is no deviation between the actual frequency and expected frequency of digits according to Benford's distribution regarding the operating profit item. The situation differs slightly when it comes to net cash flow from operating activities. Unlike the frequency of the first digit, the frequency of the second digit shows deviation in digit nine only. Its frequency is lower than expected. This is the result of rounding the numbers and therefore the frequency of the digit one is higher than expected, as shown in (table 2). The results obtained by testing the frequency of the second digits are more in line with Benford's distribution than the first digits. In order to express our doubt in the accuracy of the presented accounting items, it is necessary to test the frequency of the first two digits in the number.

Graphs 1: First two (FT) digit order in OP item and CFOPA.



Source: Author's calculation

Based on the results of the First two order test (FT test) for the operating profit item, we may conclude that there is a discrepancy in actual frequency of the first two digits and the expected frequency in six cases only. In six cases, the digits 11, 23, 32, 39, 82 and 86 have higher or lower frequency than these digits should have according to theoretical distribution based on Benford's law by applying Z test. Five of those six cases are statistically significant according to Chi square test and only one case is statistically significant according to K-S test. The first two digits 39 (graph 1) have significantly higher frequency (Z-test, Chi square test and KS statistic) than expected according to theoretical distribution. The results of FT test for net cash flow from operating activities demonstrate a much more significant discrepancy between the actual frequency and expected frequency of digits. Out of 90 digits, there are nine cases of discrepancy with the Benford's distribution based on Z test. All these digits (15, 29, 37, 55, 66, 75, 77, 88, 93) show the same discrepancy in the Chi square test which leads to a conclusion that we can claim untrue presentation of the net cash flow from operating activities. The results obtained by K-S statistic only corroborate the previous claim. What is interesting is that KS statistic shows a statistically significant discrepancy of those first two digits which the Z-test and Chi square test proved to be true. This is particularly evident in the interval between 10 and 30 which we believe is very interesting and useful to auditors.

Conclusion

Increased country risk resulted in dilemma that there is a suspicion that companies in Serbia don't express accounting positions in financial reports on objective way. Both hypothesis were confirmed. The quality and truthfulness of presented accounting items in the Balance Sheet and Cash Flow Statement is a topic to be in the focus of the experts in the country. The general conclusion to be drawn regarding the current state of affairs is that there is a reasonable doubt that the data presented in the financial statements do not correspond to the actual data. The research conducted by applying Benford's law, Z test, Chi square test and K-S statistic shows that there are grounds for suspicion. This is particularly evident in the

Cash Flow Statement. The cause does not necessary have to be manipulation. Cash Flow Statement is a rather "new" financial statement compared to Balance Sheet and Income Statement and accountants in Serbia paid more attention to these statements than to monitoring the cash inflow/outflow. In assessing a company value, this statement is exceptionally important and we believe that the contribution of this paper lies in the fact that it both signals a warning and a red flag for Cash Flow Statement to investors looking to acquire companies in Serbia.

Exceptionally high match of actual and expected frequency of operating profit item is indicative of the truthful presentation of the business assets data by the Serbian companies.

The sample comprising 4,029 companies, classified as large and medium-sized entities, shows high frequency of digits one and three and small frequency of digit four as the first digit in the net cash flow from operating activities item. Increased frequency of the digit one as the first digit could be explained by the very nature of the net cash flow from operating activities item as a group of companies certainly makes an effort and strives to present better business results. The second reason for discrepancy between the actual and expected distribution of the digits could lie in the lack of high quality professional training of the accountants in respect of preparation of the Cash Flow Statement, particularly in case of medium-sized companies. The irregularity of distribution of certain digits as the first digit evident in accounting item – net cash flow from operating activities – could also be an unknown phenomenon unrelated to management's manipulation of financial statement. In our opinion, this is the reason why future research on this subject should confirm or refute in detail the results obtained. However, it remains hard to believe that in the period between 2004 and 2013, management of the Serbian companies did not manipulate the financial statements.

References/Bibliography

Barjaktarović, L., Milojević, M. and Trajković, B., 2014. In book of proceedings FINIZ: Key aspects of cash management in the company's business. Belgrade, Republic Serbia, 5th December 2014. Belgrade: Singidunum University.

Barjaktarović, L., Milojević, M. and Terzić, I., 2014. In book of proceedings SYMORG: Results of Appliance of Benford's law on Serbian companies. Zlatibor, Republic Serbia, 15th Jun 2014. Zlatibor: University of Belgrade, Faculty of organizational science.

Bloomberg, 2010. Serbia quote, London: Bloomberg.

Durtschi, C., Hillison, W. and Pacini, C., 2004. The Effective Use of Benford's Law to Assist in Detecting Fraud in Accounting Data. *Journal of Forensic Accounting*, V (2004), pp. 17-34.

Hill, T., 1998. The first digit Phenomenon. American Scientist, 86(4), pp. 358-363.

Jelenković, Z. and Barjaktarović, L., 2010. Rizik zemlje Novi aspekti pod uticajem svetske ekonomske krize. *Singidunum Journal of Applied Sciences*, 6(2), pp. 58-71.

Milojević, M. and Miletić, D., 2014. In book of proceedings FINIZ: Cash flow management in the contemporary ways of conducting business in the Republic of Serbia. Belgrade, Republic Serbia, 5th December 2014. Belgrade: Singidunum University.

Milojević, M., Terzić, I. and Marjanović, V., 2014. In book of proceedings SINTEZA: Application of Benford's Analysis in detecting anomalies in the financial statements – case of Serbian large companies. Belgrade, Republic Serbia, 25th April 2014. Belgrade: Singidunum University.

Ministry of Finance, 2013. Treasury bills, Belgrade: Ministry of Finance.

Nigrini, M., 1999. Adding value with digit analysis. *The internal Auditor*, 56(1), pp. 21-23.

Nigrini, M., 1999. I have got your number. Journal of Accountancy, 37(II), pp. 79-83.

Nigrini, M. & Mittermaier, L., 1997. The use of Benford's law as an aid in analytics procedures. *Journal of Practice & Theory*, 16(2), pp. 52-67.

Pitić, G., Savić, N. and Barjatarović, L. K. S., 2014. Impact of Different Forms of Foreign Capital Inflow on GDPpc in SEE Countries during the Crisis. *Eurasian Journal of Economics and Finance*, 2(2), pp. 70-77.

Raimi, A., 1976. The first digit problem. *American Mathematics Monthly*, 83, pp. 521-538. Reuters, 2010. *Serbia report*, Frankfurt: Reuters.